



# Texas Envirothon Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

Team Number

Room Number

Judge's Number or initials

0	Not at all
2	Poor or poorly
4	Fair or slightly well
6	Good or fairly well
8	Excellent or very well
10	Outstanding

## Part I Preparation & Presentation of Plan (60 points maximum)

Circle Score

A. How well did the presentation address or identify:

- The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?
- All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?
- The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife)?
- The current issue?
- The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?

0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation? Please use guide below:

- 10 pts**—9-10 resources      **8 pts**—7-8 resources      **6 pts**—5-6 resources  
**4 pts**—3-4 resources      **2 pts**—1-2 resources      **0 pts** for no resources cited

0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10

Part I Subtotal

## Part II Application of Data (70 points maximum)

Circle Score

- A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).
- B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.
- C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost & benefits of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.).
- D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/or cultural issues(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice, etc.).
- E. The team presented a viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.
- F. The solution presented has potential to be applied or implemented and has credibility along with sustainability to natural resources.
- G. Appearance of the team was professional and appropriate for the conditions of the presentation.

0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
0	2	4	6	8	10
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Part II Subtotal



# Texas Envirothon Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

Team Number

Room Number

Judge's Number or initials

0	Not at all
2	Poor or poorly
4	Fair or slightly well
6	Good or fairly well
8	Excellent or very well
10	Outstanding



## Part III Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum)

0 2 4 6 8 10

A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.).	0	2	4	6	8	10
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, and neat, etc.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.	0	2	4	6	8	10

**Part III Subtotal**

## Part IV Required Elements (30 point maximum)

0 2 4 6 8 10

A. Add up to ten points for team member participants in presentation. (Each team member gets 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation.)	0	2	4	6	8	10
B. Add up to ten points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.	0	2	4	6	8	10
C. Up to ten points can be awarded if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time frame (20 minute presentation) Please see guide below for correct number of points.	0	2	4	6	8	10

<b>10 pts</b> - 17 to 20 minutes	<b>8 pts</b> - 15 to 16:59 minutes	<b>6 pts</b> - 13 to 14:59 minutes
<b>4 pts</b> - 11 to 12:59 minutes	<b>2 pts</b> - 9 to 10:59 minutes	<b>0 pts</b> - less than 9 minutes

**Part IV Subtotal**

**ROOM MONITOR: PLEASE ENTER TIME OF THE PRESENTATION HERE:**

## Final Score

Total Points for Part 1	(60 Maximum Points) _____
Total Points for Part II	(70 Maximum Points) _____
Total Points for Part III	(40 Maximum Points) _____
Total Points for Part IV	(30 Maximum Points) _____

**Final Score (out of 200 points)**